

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/887,021	06/25/2001	Terry R. Lee	M4065.0407/P407 6645	
24998	7590 10/31/2006		EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP 1825 EYE STREET NW			VU, TRISHA U	
Washington, DC 20006-5403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2111	
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination LEE, TERRY R.	
	09/887,021		
		Art Unit	
	Trisha Vu	2112	╝
Document Code - AP.PRE			141

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 9/29/06.

This is in response to the rife-Appear	blief request for review filed <u>9/29/00.</u>	
 Improper Request – The R reason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference will	I not be held for the following
The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Apude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief requ	•
•	nse continues to run from the receipt date mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has b	• •
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal brobrief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the not	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Ader appeal because there is at least one arief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. Then from mailing this decision, or the balance tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Furth 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of able.	e time period for filing an appeal se of the two-month time period ner, the time period for filing of the
☐ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. 22-3 Claim(s) withdrawn from continuous		
	conference has been held. The rejection ution on the merits remains closed. No fu	
	onference has been held. The rejection is action is required by applicant at this time	
All participants:		
(1) <u>Trisha Vu</u> .	(3) <u>Lynne H Brown</u>	
(2) <u>Rehana Perveen</u> .	(4)	1 YNNE H. BROWNE 130RY PATENT EXAMINER 140HNOLOGY CENTER 2100